

CSA4020

Multimedia Systems: Adaptive Hypermedia Systems

Lecture 7: Term Relationships & Grouping

Problems with Single-Term Indexing

- Single terms are either too specific or too broad
- Single terms carry no context
- Single terms are more ambiguous

Generation of Complex Identifiers

- Manual content analysis and indexing
- Automatic

Linguistic analysis (to generate linguistically related terms)

Term clustering (based on term co-occurrence stats)

Probabilistic analysis (incorporating term-dependence information)

Automatic Term Classification

- Construct term matrix from existing document collection

	T_1	T_2	...	T_t
D_1	$d_{1,1}$	$d_{1,2}$...	$d_{1,t}$
D_2	$d_{2,1}$	$d_{2,2}$...	$d_{2,t}$
...
...
D_n	$d_{n,1}$	$d_{n,2}$...	$d_{n,t}$

- Similar terms tend to be used in the same documents:

Group terms based on similarity amongst columns

- Similar documents contain related terms:

Group docs into doc classes based on similarity between rows, then group terms with high frequency of co-occurrence within a doc class

Problems

- Co-occurring terms may not be related!
- Statistical methods may not be reliable (low precision and recall)

Linguistic Methods

- Identify syntactic classes and construct word phrases

based on patterns of syntactic markers (such as noun-noun, adjective-noun)

- Problems:

Ambiguous words and syntactic structures

Unreliable

- Solution:

Develop good parser/semantic analysers

Use statistical methods to resolve ambiguity

Accept fact that automatic analysis is not perfect

Term Phrase Formation

- Provides more specific information than single terms, e.g.:
 1. Choose a phrase head (high freq term or term with negative discriminatory value)
 2. Add to this other terms with low/medium frequency (can limit terms to occur in same sentence, etc)
 3. Eliminate stop words

The more restrictions in step 2, the fewer phrases

- Can combine with linguistic analysis. Term phrases:

must conform to specific syntactic patterns

must occur within same sentence unit

can be augmented with domain-specific

semantic analysis

conceptual graphs (semantically similar, but syntactically different)

Thesaurus Group Generation

- Thesaurus can be used to broaden scope of terms
- Can convert every term in same class to the name of the class (controlled vocabulary)
- Can also stem to reduce size of thesaurus (but must ensure that different word senses are maintained)
- Domain-specific thesauri are usually created manually

- Thesaurus Group Generation based on term co-occurrence

Given the term-document matrix:

	T_1	T_2	...	T_t
D_1	$d_{1,1}$	$d_{1,2}$...	$d_{1,t}$
D_2	$d_{2,1}$	$d_{2,2}$...	$d_{2,t}$
...
...
D_n	$d_{n,1}$	$d_{n,2}$...	$d_{n,t}$

Compute the similarity between terms T_j and T_k :

$$\text{sim}(T_j, T_k) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_{i,j} \cdot d_{i,k}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n d_{i,j}^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n d_{i,k}^2}}$$

Single-link classification: 2 words are put into same group if $\text{sim} > \text{threshold}$
 Complete-link: sim of each pair of words in a group $> \text{threshold}$

Pseudo Classification

- Given a sample collection, and a sample set of queries with relevance judgements:

if D and Q are judged relevant, two terms T_j in Q and T_k in D are placed in same group

Such assignment will increase sim between D and Q

- Similar principle is used in relevance feedback