

# Universal Algebras

joseph.muscat@um.edu.mt

1 March 2013

## 1 Operations

A **universal algebra** is a set  $X$  with some **operations**  $*$  :  $X^n \rightarrow X$  and **relations**<sup>1</sup>  $\rightsquigarrow \subseteq X^m$ .

For example, there may be specific *constants*  $c$  ( $n = 0$ ), *functions*  $x \mapsto x^*$  ( $n = 1$ ), and *binary operations*  $(x, y) \mapsto x * y$  ( $n = 2$ ), etc. An  $n$ -ary operation is sometimes written as  $x * y * \dots$  instead of  $*(x, y, \dots)$ , and an  $m$ -ary relation as  $x \rightsquigarrow y \rightsquigarrow \dots$  instead of  $\rightsquigarrow (x, y, \dots)$ .

Elements are *indistinguishable* when

$$*(\dots, x, \dots) = *( \dots, y, \dots), \quad \rightsquigarrow (\dots, x, \dots) \Leftrightarrow \rightsquigarrow (\dots, y, \dots).$$

A **subalgebra** is a subset closed under all the operations

$$x, y, \dots \in Y \Rightarrow x * y * \dots \in Y$$

(The relations are obviously inherited.)

If  $A_i$  are subalgebras, then  $\bigcap_i A_i$  is a subalgebra.

$\llbracket A \rrbracket$ , the subalgebra *generated* by  $A$ , is the smallest subalgebra containing  $A$ ,

$$\llbracket A \rrbracket := \bigcap \{ Y \subseteq X : A \subseteq Y, Y \text{ is a subalgebra} \}$$

Hence  $A \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq Y$  (for any subalgebra  $Y$ ).

$A \cap B$  is the largest subalgebra contained in the algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ;  $\llbracket A \cup B \rrbracket$  is the smallest containing them. The collection of subalgebras form a complete lattice.

<b>For any subsets,</b>	
$A \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket,$	$\llbracket \llbracket A \rrbracket \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket$
$A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket,$	
$\llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \cup B \rrbracket,$	$\llbracket A \cap B \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \cap \llbracket B \rrbracket$

The map  $A \mapsto \llbracket A \rrbracket$  is thus a ‘closure’ map on the lattice of subsets of  $X$ , with the ‘closed sets’ being the subalgebras.

<sup>1</sup>Relations are not usually included in the definition of universal algebras.

PROOF: Let  $x, y, \dots \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$ , then for any sub-algebra  $Y \supseteq A$ ,  $x * y * \dots \in Y$ , so  $x * y * \dots \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$ . Hence  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket$  gives  $\llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket B \rrbracket$ . In particular,  $\llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket$ , so  $\llbracket \llbracket A \rrbracket \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket \llbracket A \rrbracket \rrbracket$ .  $A, B \subseteq A \cup B$  so  $\llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \cup B \rrbracket$ ;  $A, B \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket$ , so  $\llbracket A \cup B \rrbracket \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \vee \llbracket B \rrbracket$ . □

When the number of operations is finite, the generated subalgebra can be constructed recursively as  $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  where

$$B_0 := A, \quad B_{n+} := B_n \cup \bigcup_{*} (B_n).$$

Proof: that  $B_n \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket$  and  $A = B_0 \subseteq B_n$  are obvious (by induction); if  $x, \dots, y \in \bigcup_n B_n$  then  $x \in B_{n_1}, \dots, y \in B_{n_k}$ , so  $\exists r, x, \dots, y \in B_r$  and  $*(x, \dots, y) \in B_{r+} \subseteq \bigcup_n B_n$ ; so  $\llbracket A \rrbracket = \bigcup_n B_n$ . □

Hence if  $A$  is countable, so is  $\llbracket A \rrbracket$ .

The *free* algebra generated by  $A$  is that algebra in which  $x * y * \dots$  are distinct from each other, for any  $x, y, \dots \in A$ , and there are no relations.

## 2 Morphisms

The **morphisms** (also called *homomorphisms*) between compatible universal algebras (i.e., with the same type of operations and relations) are those functions  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  which preserve all the operations and relations

$$\phi(x * y * \dots) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) * \dots$$

$$x \rightsquigarrow y \rightsquigarrow \dots \Rightarrow \phi(x) \rightsquigarrow \phi(y) \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

For the special constants, functions, and binary operations, this means

$$\phi(c_X) = c_Y, \quad \phi(x^*) = \phi(x)^*, \quad \phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y).$$

An algebra with its morphisms forms a category. When  $\phi(x) \rightsquigarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow x \rightsquigarrow \dots$ , a morphism is an *isomorphism* when it is bijective (since  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(x) * \phi^{-1}(y) * \dots) = x * y * \dots$ , so  $\phi^{-1}$  is a morphism). The monomorphisms are the 1-1 morphisms; the epimorphisms are the onto morphisms.

*Proposition 1*

**For a morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,**

- **If  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , then so is  $\phi A$**
- **For any subset  $S \subseteq X$ ,  $\phi[S] = \llbracket \phi S \rrbracket$**
- **If  $B$  is a subalgebra of  $Y$ , then so is  $\phi^{-1}B$**

PROOF: If  $\phi(x), \phi(y), \dots \in \phi A$ , then

$$\phi(x) * \phi(y) * \dots = \phi(x * y * \dots) \in \phi A$$

since  $A$  is a subalgebra. Let  $x, y, \dots \in \phi^{-1}B$ , i.e.,  $\phi(x), \phi(y), \dots \in B$ , then  $\phi(x * y * \dots) = \phi(x) * \phi(y) * \dots \in B$ , hence  $x * y * \dots \in \phi^{-1}B$ . Finally, if  $\phi A \subseteq C$  (a subalgebra of  $Y$ ), then  $A \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \subseteq \phi^{-1}C$ , so  $\llbracket \phi A \rrbracket = \phi \llbracket A \rrbracket$ . □

Thus if morphisms agree on a set  $S$ , then they are equal on  $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ .

**Products:**  $X \times Y$  can be given an algebra structure by defining the operations

$$(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) * \dots := (x_1 * x_2 * \dots, y_1 * y_2 * \dots)$$

$$(x_1, y_1) \rightsquigarrow (x_2, y_2) \rightsquigarrow \dots := (x_1 \rightsquigarrow x_2 \rightsquigarrow \dots) \text{ AND } (y_1 \rightsquigarrow y_2 \rightsquigarrow \dots)$$

More generally,  $X^A$  is an algebra with

$$(f * g * \dots)(a) := f(a) * g(a) * \dots,$$

$$f \rightsquigarrow g \rightsquigarrow \dots := f(a) \rightsquigarrow g(a) \rightsquigarrow \dots \quad \forall a \in A.$$

There is also a *coproduct* (or *free product*). An algebra is said to be *decomposable* when  $X \cong Y \times Z$  with  $Y, Z \not\cong X$ .

**Quotients:** An equivalence relation on  $X$  which is invariant under the operations and relations is called a *congruence* (or *stable relation*), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \approx x_2, y_1 \approx y_2, \dots &\Rightarrow (x_1 * y_1 * \dots) \approx (x_2 * y_2 * \dots) \\ \text{AND } x_1 \rightsquigarrow y_1 \rightsquigarrow \dots &\Rightarrow x_2 \rightsquigarrow y_2 \rightsquigarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The operations and relations can then be extended to act on the set  $X/\approx$  of equivalence classes

$$[x] * [y] * \dots := [x * y * \dots]$$

$$[x] \rightsquigarrow [y] \rightsquigarrow \dots := x \rightsquigarrow y \rightsquigarrow \dots$$

i.e., the mapping  $\pi : x \mapsto [x]$  is a morphism  $X \rightarrow X/\approx$ .

For example, indistinguishable elements form a congruent relation, that can be factored away.

An algebra  $X$  can be analyzed by looking for its congruence relations and then simplifying to get  $X/\approx$ ; this process can be continued until perhaps an algebra is reached that has only trivial congruence relations ( $x \approx y \Leftrightarrow x = y$  or  $x \approx y \Leftrightarrow \text{TRUE}$ ), called *simple*: it has only trivial quotients. Simple algebras are the ‘building blocks’ of ‘finitary-type’ algebras.

Any morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  which preserves a congruence relation  $x \approx y \Rightarrow \phi(x) \approx \phi(y)$ , induces a morphism  $X/\approx \rightarrow Y$ ,  $[x] \mapsto \phi(x)$ .

The following five “Isomorphism” theorems apply when the relations satisfy  $x \rightsquigarrow y \rightsquigarrow \dots \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) \rightsquigarrow \phi(y) \rightsquigarrow \dots$ :

*First isomorphism theorem:* For any morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ , the relation  $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$  is a congruence (called the kernel of  $\phi$ ), such that the associated quotient space

$$(X/\ker \phi) \cong \phi X.$$

Proof: That  $\ker \phi$  is a congruence is trivial; so it induces a 1-1 morphism  $X/\ker \phi \rightarrow \phi X$ , thus an isomorphism.

*Third isomorphism theorem:* If a congruence  $\approx_1$  is finer than another  $\approx_2$ , then  $\approx_1$  induces a congruence  $(\approx_2/\approx_1)$  on  $X/\approx_2$ , and

$$X/\approx_2 \cong (X/\approx_1)/(\approx_2/\approx_1).$$

Proof: The map  $X/\approx_1 \rightarrow X/\approx_2$ ,  $[x] \mapsto [[x]]$  is a well-defined onto morphism, with kernel  $(\approx_2/\approx_1)$ .

*Second isomorphism theorem:* If  $Y$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , and  $\approx$  is a congruence on  $X$ , then  $\approx$  is a congruence on  $Y$ , and  $Y/\approx$  is isomorphic to the subalgebra  $Y' \subseteq X/\approx$ , consisting of all the equivalence classes that contain an element of  $Y$ .

Proof: The map  $Y \rightarrow X/\approx$ ,  $y \mapsto [y]$ , is a morphism with image  $Y'$  and kernel  $\approx$ .

*Fourth isomorphism theorem:* Given a congruence relation  $\approx$ , the subalgebras  $Y \subseteq X$  that satisfy  $y \in Y \Rightarrow [y] \subseteq Y$ , are in correspondence with the subalgebras of  $X/\approx$ .

Proof: Clearly,  $Y \subseteq Z \Rightarrow Y' \subseteq Z'$ . Conversely, if  $Y' \subseteq Z'$  and  $y \in Y$ , then  $[y] \in Z'$ , so  $[y] = [z]$  for some  $z \in Z$ , thus  $y \approx z \in Z$ .

*‘Fifth’ isomorphism theorem:* Given congruences  $\approx_1, \approx_2$  on  $X_1, X_2$ , the relation  $(x_1, x_2)(\approx_1 \times \approx_2)(y_1, y_2) := (x_1 \approx_1 y_1) \text{ AND } (x_2 \approx_2 y_2)$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  is a congruence, and

$$\frac{X_1 \times X_2}{\approx_1 \times \approx_2} \cong \frac{X_1}{\approx_1} \times \frac{X_2}{\approx_2}.$$

Proof: Let  $\phi : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \frac{X_1}{\approx_1} \times \frac{X_2}{\approx_2}$ ,  $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto ([x_1], [x_2])$ . This is a morphism with kernel given by  $([x_1], [x_2]) = ([y_1], [y_2]) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \approx_1 y_1 \text{ AND } x_2 \approx_2 y_2$ .

There are two senses in which a space  $X$  is ‘contained’ in a larger space  $Y$ :

1. Externally, by *embedding*  $X$  in  $Y$ , i.e., there is an isomorphism  $\iota : X \rightarrow B \subseteq Y$ , denoted  $X \subsetneq Y$  because  $X$  is, effectively, a subspace of  $Y$ ;
2. Internally, by *covering*  $X$  by  $Y$ , i.e., there is an onto morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , so  $X \cong Y/\ker \pi$ ; each element of  $X$  is refined into several in  $Y$ .

Then every morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  splits up into three parts with an inner core bijective morphism:  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X/\ker \phi \rightarrow \text{im } \phi \xrightarrow{\iota} Y$ . For example,  $X \times Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X \xrightarrow{\iota} X \times Y$ .

### Theorem 2

**Every finitely-generated algebra is a quotient of the finitely-generated free algebra.**

(the same is true if the operations have intrinsic properties, i.e., subalgebras, quotients and products have the same properties)

An *endomorphism*  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  induces a sequence of embeddings and partitions:

- A descending sequence of embedded spaces

$$X \supseteq \text{im } \phi \supseteq \text{im } \phi^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \bigcap_n \text{im } \phi^n$$

- An ascending sequence of partitions, where  $x \sim_n y \Leftrightarrow \phi^n(x) = \phi^n(y)$ ,

$$0 \subseteq \ker \phi \subseteq \ker \phi^2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \bigcup_n \ker \phi^n$$

1.  $\phi$  is said to be of *finite descent* down to  $n$  when  $\text{im } \phi^n = \text{im } \phi^{n+1}$  ( $= \text{im } \phi^{n+2} = \cdots$ ), i.e.,  $\phi$  is onto  $\text{im } \phi^n$ . In this case, every element can be represented, modulo  $\sim_n$ , by some element in  $\text{im } \phi^n$ .

Proof:  $\phi^n(x) = \phi^{n+1}(y)$ , so  $x \sim_n \phi(y) \sim_n \cdots \sim_n \phi^n(z)$ .

2.  $\phi$  is of *finite ascent* up to  $n$  when  $\ker \phi^n = \ker \phi^{n+1}$  ( $= \ker \phi^{n+2} = \cdots$ ), i.e.,  $\phi$  is 1-1 on  $\text{im } \phi^n$ . Then each  $n$ -equivalence class contains at most one element of  $\text{im } \phi^n$ .

Proof:  $\phi^n(x) \sim_n \phi^n(y)$  implies  $x \sim_{n+n} y$ , so  $x \sim_n y$ , i.e.,  $\phi^n(x) = \phi^n(y)$ .

3. If  $\phi$  has finite ascent and descent, then the two sequences have the same length. Thus every element can be represented modulo  $\sim_n$  by a unique element in  $\text{im } \phi^n$ , and  $\phi$  is bijective on  $\text{im } \phi^n$

Proof: The ascent cannot be longer than the descent else  $\phi^n(x_1) = \phi^n(y_1) = \phi^{n+1}(y_2)$  and  $\phi^{n+1}(x_1) = \phi^{n+1}(y_1)$ , so  $x_1 \not\sim_n y_1$  but  $x_1 \sim_{n+1} y_1$ , and  $x_2 \not\sim_{n+1} y_2$  but  $x_2 \sim_{n+2} y_2$ , etc. The descent cannot be longer than the ascent else if  $\phi^m(x) = \phi^{m+1}(y)$  then  $x \sim_m \phi(y)$ , so  $x \sim_n \phi(y)$ .

### 3 Compatibility

An operation is **associative** when  $*(x, y, \dots)$  exists for every finite number of terms, such that

$$*(\dots, *(x, y, \dots), u, \dots) = *(\dots, x, y, \dots, u, \dots)$$

For example,  $x * y * z = (x * y) * z$ ; so the operation reduces to three basic ones

$$0 := *(), \quad *(x), \quad *(x, y)$$

such that

$$0 * x = *(x), \quad (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

Note that  $*(x) = *(y)$  is a stable equivalence relation (with respect to  $*$ ), with related elements being *indistinguishable* algebraically; but can assume  $*(x) = x$  by taking the quotient space and renaming; in this case,  $0 * x = x$ .

An operation is **distributive** over another when

$$*(\dots, \circ(x, y, \dots), z, \dots) = \circ(*(\dots, x, z, \dots), *(\dots, y, z, \dots), \dots)$$

For 0-,1-,2-operations, this means

	(0)	1	2
(0)	(0 = 1)	(0 = 0*)	(0 * x = 0 = x * 0)
1	(1° = 1)	x*° = x°*	(x * y)° = x° * y = x * y°
2	(x + y)* = x* + y*		x * z + y * z = (x + y) * z z * x + z * y = z * (x + y)

Two operations **commute** when

$$*(\circ(x_1, y_1, \dots), \circ(x_2, y_2, \dots), \dots) = \circ(*(\dots, x_1, x_2, \dots), *(y_1, y_2, \dots))$$

For 1-,2-operations, this means

	0	1	2
0	0 = 1		
1	x*° = x°*		(x + y)* = x* + y*
2	(x <sub>1</sub> + y <sub>1</sub> ) * (x <sub>2</sub> + y <sub>2</sub> ) = x <sub>1</sub> * x <sub>2</sub> + y <sub>1</sub> * y <sub>2</sub>		

Commuting 2-operations with identities must actually be the same, and must be commutative  $x * y = y * x$  and associative.

Proof: The identities are the same:  $1 = 11 = (1 + 0)(0 + 1) = 10 + 01 = 0 + 0 = 0$ .  $ab = (a + 0)(0 + b) = a0 + 0b = a + b$  so the operations are the same, and  $(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd)$ . Hence  $ab = (1a)(b1) = (1b)(a1) = ba$  and  $a(bc) = (a1)(bc) = (ab)c$ . □

In particular if an operation with identity commutes with itself, it must be associative and commutative  $x * y = y * x$ .

### 3.1 Examples

1. The simplest example is a set with a single constant 0, sometimes called the field with one element.
2. A set with a constant and a 1-operation; properties may be  $0^* = 0$ ,  $x^{**} = x$ .
3. A set with a constant, a 1-operation, and a 2-operation: e.g.  $0 * x = x$ ,  $(x * y)^* = y^* * x^*$ .