## Introduction to Graph Theory — Sheet 3

- 1. The line graph L(G) of a graph G is a graph whose vertices are the edges of G and such that two vertices are adjacent in L(G) iff the corresponding edges are e=adjacent in G. Show that
  - (a) Show that if G is Eulerian then its line graph L(G) is Hamiltonian. \*Give a counterexample to show that the converse is false.
  - (b) Show that if G is Hamiltonian then its line graph L(G) is Hamiltonian. \*Give a counterexample to show that the converse is false.
- 2. This describes an application of Eulerian graphs. Do you recognise which real-life situation this refers to?

Suppose that W is a word composed from the alphabet  $\{A, C, G, T\}$ . Suppose that W contains fourteen letters. Yous are not given W but you are given instead all its subwords of length 3. These subwords, given in an arbitrary order which need not be the order they appear in W are:

AAA, AAC, ACA, ACG, ACT, CAA

## CAC, CGC, CTT, GCA, TAA, TTT.

Can you determine W from these fragments? Is W the only word with these fragments?

Solve the problem by drawing an appropriate digraph D and finding an Eulerian directed trail in D. (You can find this problem and its solution in my Networks course notes.)

- 3. \*Prove that if G is a Hamiltonian graph then, for every set of vertices S of G the number of components c(G S) of G S is at most |S|. Show by an example that this necessary result is not sufficient, that is, a graph could have the property that  $c(G S) \leq |S|$  for all sets of vertices but still fail to be Hamiltonian.
- 4. Two questions about Ore's Theorem
  - (a) \*Give an example to show that Ore's Theorem is best possible in the sense that there could be a graph such that  $\deg(u) + \deg(v) \ge n 1$  for all non-adjacent vertices u, v which still fails to be Hamiltonian.
  - (b) \*Show by an example that the sufficient condition of Ore's Theorem is not necessary, that is, there could be a Hamiltonian graph for which it is not true that  $\deg(u) + \deg(v) \ge n$  for all non-adjacent vertices u, v.
- 5. \*Prove that a Hamiltonian graph is 2-connected, but the converse does not hold.